Table 56F-5 -- Differential Diagnosis of Leptomeningeal Metastasis

NEOPLASTIC

Parenchymal metastases Dural metastases Castleman's disease*

INFECTIONS

Bacterial/viral meningitis Fungal infections, including cryptococcus Lyme disease Neurocysticercosis Tuberculosis

GRANULOMATOUS DISORDERS

Histiocytosis Sarcoidosis Wegener's granulomatosis

INFLAMMATORY DISORDERS

Multiple sclerosis Paraneoplastic encephalomyelitis Relapsing polychondritis Rheumatoid nodules Vasculitis (including granulomatous angiitis) From Bradley: Neurology in Clinical Practice, 5th ed.

* "benign"CNS lymphoma associated with HIV

Prognosis of LM

Bad...ominous...grave...terminal

Median survival untreated patients is 4-6 weeks

- noitonuizylo olectoruen io nelezenpore mori dused
- Treatment is intended to improve or stabilize neurologic status, maintain neurologic QOL, and prolong survival
- Fixed neurologic deficits rarely improve, but progression may be halted in some patients, and median survival can
 - be increased to 4-6 months

 Only pain-related Nx Sx improve; confusion, Cr Ns, ataxia, weakness minimally improve or stabilize

- Breast CA (of solid tumors) responds best
 - MLOSurvival 6 mos; 11-25% 1 year survival
- Who to treat?

Bad Prognostic Signs (bad to worst)

Generally accepted that patients do poorly with:

- Poor performance status
 - Multiple fixed neurologic deficits
- Bulky CNS disease (1/3 of patients)
- Coexistent carcinomatous encephalopathy
 - CSF flow abnormalities (1/3 of patients)
 - Widely metastatic aggressive cancers
 - 75% have progressive systemic cancer

Neoplastic Meningitis-Related Prognostic Significance of the Karnovsky Performance Status Chamberlain et al. Arch Neurol. 2009;66(1):74-78.

KPS is easy to determine

How about in patients matched for all the other bad prognostic signs?
 KPS < 70 vs. KPS > 70 matched for:

Age, 1^o tumor site, site of NM (Cr Ns or cord), treatment (RT and chemo; systemic and intraventricular), CSF compartmentalization, encephalopathy, and bulky CNS disease

Karnofsky Score

Karnofsky Score (KS)	Definition	
100	Normal; no complaints; no evidence of disease	
90	Able to carry on normal activity; minor signs or symptoms of disease	
80	Normal activity with effort; some sign or symptoms of disease	
70	Cares for self; unable to carry on normal activity or do active work	
60	Requires occasional assistance, but is able to care for most personal needs	
50	Requires considerable assistance and frequent medical care	
40	Disabled; requires special care and assistance	
30	Severely disabled; hospitalization is indicated, although death not imminent	
20	Very sick; hospitalization necessary; active support treatment is necessary	
10	Moribund; fatal processes progressing rapidly	
0	Dead	

Survival in patients with neoplastic meningitis by Karnofsky performance status (KPS) score



Conclusions

 A low Karnofsky performance score predicts poor survival in patients with NM

Patients with low Karnofsky performance score may best be served by offering supportive care.

Both CH and JJ were, "on the cusp" at 60-70%

Survival of Breast Cancer Patients With Meningeal Carcinomatosis Gauthier et al. Ann Onc adv acc 4/10

Most common cause of nonhematologic MC
Review of 91 Breast CA patients 2000-2007
Report clinical and biologic features
Determine significant prognostic features for response to therapy

Develop and propose a prognostic score

Results

Multivariate statistical analysis of prognostic features
 4 features associated with poor survival
 Poor performance status (ECOG 3-4)
 Number of prior chemotherapy regimens (>3)
 Negative hormone receptor status
 High Cyfra 21-1 levels (Br Ca tumor marker)

ECOG PERFORMANCE STATUS SCALE

ECOG (Zubrod)	Karnofsky	Definitions
0	100	Asymptomatic
1	80-90	Symptomatic, fully ambulatory
2	60-70	Symptomatic, in bed less than 50% of the day
3	40-50	Symptomatic, in bed more than 50% of the day, but not bedridden
4	20-30	Bedridden